G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-01

( G. Fin )

P=58
F

**File No.** ~~285~~ 77-00

**Serial Nos.** 1-4

# Papers Filed.

***Month*** _____

SUBJECT.

Sanctions a refund of Rs 654-0-6 to the Jodedars of Kurkandaur, in Velamanigala Tq being the excess jodi collected from them

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
| --- | --- |
| | |

## Note

Prior to the Inam settlement of 1878, a sum of ~~jodi amount-ing to~~ Rs. 672-8-9 as detailed in the margin was being paid for the village of Iruvakhandpur in the Belmuragala Taluk, by the Jodidars, Thimmappa Sastri & others. This in the settlement of 1878, was raised to Rs 899-9-0 as shown also in the margin. The increased amount was levied from the Jodidars for 2 years viz, for 1878-79 & 1879-80. The Jodidars then appealed to Govt against the Inam Settlement of 1878, stating that the Survey department valued

× Jodi Rs 618
Local cess 54-8-9
672-8-9

× Jodi Rs 728-0-0
Local cess 171-9-0
899-9-0

valued the villages at the full assessment of the whole cultivable area, actually cultivated and waste, with an addition varying from ½ to 5 annas per acre on the uncultivable lands; that the above did not properly represent the true value of the villages on even their prospective value in the immediate future as it was not to be expected that all the uncultivable lands could be brought under cultivation for years to come any more than in the Govt. villages.

The Govt. finding that the Complaint of the Jadidars was just decided in their favour order No 4701-9/133 dt 2-9-81, copy subd with the file sd ord 1

that the Survey assessment
on the lands under cultiva-
tion with 25 per cent
of the assessment on the
arable waste on ac-
-count of prospective
improvements together
~~with~~ with a reasona-
-ble pasture rent on
the unarable waste
would be a fair ~~va~~
valuation to adopt
~~for the~~ in ~~the case~~
~~of~~ calculating the
quit rent & the cesses of
Inam villages; and
~~accordingly~~ requested
the Survey Superintendt
to revise the valua-
-tion of Inam and
Kuyamyutka villages
accordingly and to
submit a statement
showing the details
of the former and the
revised valuation
for

for each village &
the quit rent & local
cess as originally imposed
and as now altered.
It was further requested
that pending such valu-
-ation the increased
quit rent hitherto
charged on the Inam
and Kayamgutta villages
on the basis of the
Survey ~~and~~ assessment
would be held in
abeyance, and that
both the quit-rent &
the Local Fund cesses
would be collected
on the old recorded
valuation only.

The revised settlement
of Dumkhandpur
was made in the year
1899 & the jodi on the
village was finally
fixed at Rs 729, the
same being made

Bangalore Dy. Commr's letter
No. D. 1067 of 24-11-00.
Sl. No. 9 of the
bdle.

payable from the year 1899-
-1900. But prior to the
Govt order quoted above,
~~the amount of~~ Rs -
1799-2-0, excluding the
local cess had been
recovered from the
Jodidars for the ~~years~~
years 1878-79 & 1879-1880
and credited to the
Treasury. The excess
amount, viz (Rs 1799-2-0
- 1345-6-0), or 454-0-6
for the 2 years, has been
the Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dt, recommended
in his letter dated
the 24th November 00,
might be refunded
to the Jodidar Thimmap
pa. Upon this, that an
Officer was request-
-ed to state why the
Govt should refund
what was paid 22
years ago & what-

the

This office no
8372 dt 16-4-01.
7.L.827
Sl no (6) of
the file.

the Jodidar and others were doing for 20 long years from the date of the Govt: order of 1881. He was also requested to submit the Correspondence referred to by the Asstt. Collector in his Ittila No. 520, dated the 20th December 99, showing that the matter was under correspondence during that long period.

In submitting the correspondence referred to above, the A.C. Lamur in his letter No. 1327 dated 31-5-00 states as follows:-

"According to the ad-interim Inam settlement, the Jodi due to Govt: from the Jodidars of Iyankhandpur

Sl. nos (4) of the file.

was Rs 642-8-9. But in the final settlement based upon the survey valuation, it was raised to Rs 899-9-0. In spite of the protest from the Jodidars, the excess amounting to Rs 457-6 was collected for the 2 years 1878-79 & 1879-80. In the year 1880-81, the Jodidars began to withhold payment of enhanced Jodi & in accordance with orders of Govt no 4701-9/133 of the 2nd Septr 1881, this excess was permitted pending a general settlement of such excesses by the Revenue Survey Department upon certain principals laid down. In the

year

year 1884-85, the revised settlement was introduced and in accordance with that the jodi was fixed at Rs 836-0-0 and in 1894-95 a further reduction of Rs 1-9-6 was made. In the meantime, arrears of jodi began to accumulate as well as the items for which the jodidars claimed Compensation from Govt., and complications arose owing to various causes. The jodidars not only claimed the deduction of the excess of rent amounting to Rs 4 57-0-6 collected from them during the years 1878-79 & 1879-80, from the demand made on them by Govt., but also of other sums claimed by them from Govt., on account of 113 acres & 14 guntas of their jodi land-

(The Dy. Commr. stated in his letter of 24-11-00, that this revised settlement was made in the year 1899).

taken from them for the watersread of the Hessaragatta tank in 1893-94 and 7 acres and 20 guntas, for ~~a~~ building purposes in 1895-96.

As the reasonableness of their demand had to be settled before the amount due to them could be finally fixed, the attention of Valmangala was directed in his ~~letter of~~ No. 137 of the 10th November 1899, to show separately the items for which the Godidars laid claim against Govt. and the amount due from them to Govt., so that a settlement might be speedily arrived at. As the excess of Collection amounting

to Rs 454-0-6 made during the year 1878-79 & 1879-80, had nothing to do with the settlement of the Jodidars' other claims against the Govt; sanction was applied for refunding this amount in order that the above may be taken in adjustment of the amount due to Govt from the Jodidars."

The records now received date from 1895. The question of the refund of Rs 454-0-6 never arose from the Jodidars, but they simply asked in all their petns that that amount might be deducted from the arrears of Jodi claimed by Govt from them, for years subsequent to

1879–1880. The Dy. Commr submits the refund bill with a view to receive this amount & to adjust the same towards the arrears of Jodi due by the Jodidars.

Submitted
to the secretary for orders.

I think the
21/7/02. refund should
be granted –

H
20/8/02

Please draft an order
Sanctioning and Sub-
mit for approval.

7/9/1902

(General Serial No. 30.)

5 1900/1901 4481(?)

The Dy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore —
Revenue Department.

DR II
4921.

Dated 2nd November 1900
Despatched 9th January 1901
Received
General No. } 190 .
D No. 1067

Enclosures Number. one with file

Government Proceedings No. —
4701-9
133 of the 21st September 1881

Submits for sanction a refund bill on behalf of Jodidar Shivanabha Shastry for the sum of Rs 454-4-6.

M Arete
2 II
16.1.01

2nd Dec 1900
Rs 1
No 4701-9 D 2/1/01
Submitted with draft.

D. No. 1067
Rec: one Writ file

Bangalore District
Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 24th November 1900.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Rs 672-8-9 as marginally noted was the mamool jodi including local cess payable by the Jodidar Thimmappa Sastry for the village of Iverkandapura in the Nelamangala Taluk. In the Inam settlement of 1878, the jodi was raised to Rs 728. This jodi with the local cess of Rs 171-9-0 amounting to Rs 899-9-0 was brought to the accounts of 1878-79 and 1879-80.

Rs. as p
Jodi 618-- --
Local cess. 54-8-9
Rs 672-8-9

2. The Jodidar appealed to Government against the Inam settlement of 1878, and the Government in their Proceedings No. 4701-9/133 dated the 2nd September 1881 ordered that the mamool jodi was to be recovered until the revision of the Inam settlement. The revised settlement was made in the year 1899 and the jodi on the village was finally fixed at Rs 729, the same being made payable from the year 1899-1900.

3.

(2)

3. But prior to the Government order quoted above, the amount of Rs 899-9-0 ×2 = Rs. 1799-2-0 (including local cess) had been recovered from the Godidar for the years 1878-79 and 1879-80 and credited to the treasury. The excess sum of Rs 454-0-6 (as per margin) recovered for the above mentioned years has to be refunded to the Godidar. A refund bill for the amount is herewith submitted for sanction.

4. The vernacular record connected with the subject is also herewith submitted for reference

Rs 899-9-0 ×2 : Rs 1799-2-0
672-8-9 ×2 : 1345-1-6
Difference - 454-0-6

*I have the honor to be*
*Sir*
*Your most obedient servant*

*Dy. Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:— (1)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Chief Commissioner's Proceedings
No. 1685-193 of the 31st July 1879.
Survey and Settlement Commissioner's
letter no. 765 of 30th August 1879.
Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No.
4030-698 of 30th October 1879 and-
Also Survey and Settlement Commission-
-er's letter no 1020 of 12th November
1879, regarding Survey valuation
of Inam villages.

No. 4701-9-133, dated Bangalore,
2nd September 1881.

Order thereon:— His Highness' Government
has had under its Consideration
the above Correspondence regarding
the mode of the valuation of Inam
and Kayamgutta villages for the
purpose of calculating the quit-rent
and local fund cess chargeable
on them.

2. It is observed that the quit-rent on main villages for which title-deeds had been issued before the 12th October 1872, had been calculated on their valuation as recorded in the old accounts, which was incorrect and often grossly under-estimated. It was therefore afterwards provided that the local cess on all the main and Rayangutta villages, and the quit-rent on such of them for which title-deeds had not been issued prior to the above date, should be calculated upon a valuation based on a Survey. The Survey department under these orders have valued the villages at the full assessment of the whole culturable area, actually cultivated and waste, with an addition varying from 2 to 5 annas per acre

½ acre on the uncultivable land. This valuation is justly complained of by the mirasdars as not properly representing the present value of the villages, or even their prospective value in the immediate future, as it is not to be expected that all the cultivable lands could be brought under cultivation for years to come any more than in the Govt. villages.

3. It must be remarked that the belief expressed by the late Survey Commissioner, that the mirasdars as a matter of fact collect more on their lands than a Survey assessment, does not seem to be borne out by facts. It may be so as regards some of the Superior lands, but the mirasdars are obliged to offer much lower rates than the Survey

Survey assessment, on the greater portion of their lands, as the ryots naturally prefer the more secure and permanent tenure on which Govt lands are available. For the same reason it is not possible for mirasdars to draw away ryots from Govt lands by the offer of more favourable terms, and on the whole the rent derived by the mirasdars on the aggregate extent of their cultivation is, it is believed, rather below than in excess of the Survey assessment on it. If the mirasdars do not ask for a detailed Survey of their villages and for the introduction of a Survey assessment it is because

they naturally dislike any such limitation of their rights, and moreover whilst the Survey assessment would diminish their receipts from the Superior lands they cannot hope to secure such assessment on the superior lands. And with regard to the remark that, if the land is poor the Survey assessment would be low it need only be stated that such assessment intended to be paid on the lands, when ordinarily under cultivation, cannot be justly charged when the limit of population and other circumstances precludes the possibility of the lands being brought under the plough for years to come.

4. His Highness' Government

decidedly of opinion that the valuation of these villages which is required for the calculation of the gish-rent and the cesses should be made on just and sound principles, and that the survey assessment on the lands under cultivation with 25 per Cent of the assessment on the arable waste on account of prospective improvements, together with a reasonable pasture rent on the unarable waste would be a fair valuation to adopt. It may, however, be provided that in exceptional cases where lands are laid waste

waste by the Iruandaru, through mere neglect, to an extent much out of proportion to the waste in similar Govt villages or where the extent of cultivated lands is understated; it shall be open to the Survey Superintendent to assume for the valuation, the full assessment on such portion of the lands as ought to have been cultivated and the 25 per cent on the remaining waste only

5. The Survey Superintendent is requested to review the valuation of the Iruandi and Kayangutta villages accordingly and submit a

Statement

statement showing the details of the former and the revised valuation for each village and the quit-rent and local fund cess as originally imposed and as now altered. Pending such re-valuation the increased quit-rent hitherto charged on Inam and Kayam-gutta villages on the basis of the Survey assessment will be held in abeyance and both the quit-rent and the local fund cess will be collected on the old recorded valuation only.

(Sgned) R. Vyayandra Rao

Secretary

To
The Survey & Inam Superintendent
Dy Commrs of Ds

Correspondence relating to the ~~[illegible]~~ compensation claimed by godidars for the loss of their wet crops under the bank when the same was breached by ~~[illegible]~~ the D. P. W Authorities -

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ.

1. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ 28-8-96ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 448 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ - (96-97.ನ.ವಿ.244) - 1
2. ಕೋಲಾರ - 29-7-96ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 227 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ - (96-97.ನ.ವಿ.107) - 1
3. ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳವರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ 29-4-96ರ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಪತ್ರ 1
4. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ 20-11-96ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 332 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ 1
5. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ 27-10-96ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 192 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ 1
6. ಕೋಲಾರ ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳವರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ 1
7. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ 2-12-97ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 377 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ 1
8. ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳವರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ 10-11-97 1
9. ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ 18-12-96ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 1079/6793 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ (ನ.ಪ್ರ.290 ಫೈ96-97) 1
10. D.P.W. ಜಿ.ಪಂ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ 18-12-96 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪತ್ರ 1
11. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ 6-12-97ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 545 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಪತ್ರ 1

ಸಹಿ/-
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮೀಷನರ್

12 ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಆಡಳಿತ ವಿಭಾಗದ

13 ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ

14 ಕೋಲಾರ ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

15

16

No 4480
Reino.

Forwarded to the 1st Genl Asst Commr in continuation of this office No 228 of the 30th ultimo for early report.

[illegible]
Dy Commissioner
Dated 4th August 1896

Rec'd 2.9.96
A.K

96-97 FD No 244

[Gen. 30.]

1896.

*From*

*The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the*
*Govt. of Mysore.*

*To* The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

*Dated* 14th August 1896

*Despatched* 18

*Received* 20/8/96

*General No.* 1829

*R No.* 696.

*Enclosure No.*

This Office No. 781 / R 312 dated 22nd July 1896 ~~forwarding for~~ report Petition No. 3677 dated 29th April 1896, from Seetharamiah ~~s/o~~ of Nivarkhandapura, Nelamangala Taluk complaining the loss of crops etc. sustained by them by the breach of their village tank ~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~.

---

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By order,

Under-Secretary
comps.
4/8/96.

Am
25/8

FD No 107 of 96-97

96-97. Jud. No. 107

1896

Encl

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 22nd July 1896.

Despatched

Received

General No. 781

No. R 312.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to forward herewith for a full report the enclosed petition No. 3677 (in original) from Seetharamiah and other inhabitants of Swarkandapur, Nelamangala Taluk, stating that they had cultivated about 90 acres of land below the land of their village that they sustained loss to about 800 candies of paddy

---

The asst. Commr in charge of Nelamangala & Sulebele Hobli early. to go with the original record. Noon

No 227. 27/7
Encl: 7.31.

Memo No dated 2 July 1896.

Forwarded in original to the 1st Assistant Commissioner for compliance with the above requisition.

M Munaf Rao
for Dy Commissioner

29/7/96

Rec'd 1/8/96
Asst.

Mis was kept to
Mr S Clerk Rd

Today Ry
9/12/97

28/7

paddy valued at Rs: 640/-
by breaching of the ~~[illegible]~~
bank, that the extent
of land taken up from
their village for water-
spread and for bungalow,
comes up to 150 acres,
that out of this extent
a jode of Rs: 85/- for 113 acres
only is remitted instead
of the proper amount
of Rs: 152 and odd, that
the Mutchalika executed
by them for acquision
of land for Hessaragatta
water-spread &c. shows
that about 100 acres
are wanted and that
further lands have
been taken up without
compensating them, for
earth work, for Kodi,
Bungalow, Imsa for Khana &c.

"By order"

[signature]

comptd For Secretary.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Very urgent

No 48

26 CR

24/11/96

No. 258

Dear Kapil
Have lunch tomorrow
with Ramanath

Love
Ravi

9/12/97

4.12.97

7517 / 5514

13.12.97

13.12.97

418

13.12.97

18/12/97

45-6

2

3

Memo n. 350

Copy forwarded to the 1st Dy. Assistant Comm. for information and levy of the amount

8/ 12. 96

Dft, [illegible]
for Dy Comm.
8/

No (9)

1896-97

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

*From*

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*

*To* The Executive Engineer
Nrsinga Latta Dl. J. Division

*Dated Bangalore,* 18th December 1896.

*Despatched*

*No.* 1078
67,92.

*Enclosure* *No.*

SUBJECT.

Executive Engineer's Ct. 388/2774 of 3rd December 1896 submitting for sanction project for restoring Chanikundapura Tank Regd. Ct. 229 of Channapatna Taluk, amounting to Rs 9,685.

In intimating the sanction of Government to above project requests the Executive Engineer will be good enough to proceed with the work as rapidly as possible

Dnd-9

possible, pending allotments of funds in Final Budget under Minor Irrigation Head. Funds to cover Government share of outlay. The project is under check and will be returned sanctioned shortly.

2. The Chamdapi share of outlay which has been fixed at Rs 5,171, should be debited to the Revenue Department for recovery from them as an arrear demand, under the rules in force.

By Order.

Sd/- J. F. Whiteley.
Assistant Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore PSSA

Memo 190
E.I.
19.12.96

No. 1672
Dt. 6793

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, for information.

2. Copy of Colonel Bowen's note to Diwan explaining the necessity for the project is also forwarded with a request that he will be good enough to levy from the Chamdapi their quota of the cost of restoration.

J. F. Whiteley
Assistant Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
PSSA

Encl:- 1 copy of note.

Bangalore.
19th December 1896.

Copy of the above for
information and necessary action
to the Superintendent of Survey
Mysore Territories.

42
Dewl-72

# MYSORE P. W. DEPT.

## TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

*for Sanction.*

I submit for formal sanction, the enclosed specifict of Rs 7,685, providing for the Kurtkundapura Inam Tank, in Nelamungala Taluk, situated above the Bangalore City Masonry Delivery Channel, being restored to the standard proposed in my note of the 8th April 1896, and approved of in your orders thereon, viz- 7 feet height of bund above sluice and 6 feet top width, with stone facing 4 feet above sluice all along. The one foot extra height of bund and waistment being required for special protection of the Tank, will be done at Government cost. The Inamdar's share of the proposed outlay has been fixed at Rs 5,171, which is Savi - leaving Rs 4,514. to be borne by Government.

II. With reference to the concluding para of your orders quoted above, I enclose (1) tracing from Sofo map showing the Tank, Waste Weir Channel & the aqueduct and the sluice below and (2) tracing of cross section of nullah where the aqueduct crosses it.

with

with area of waterway marked on it. Under red ink remarks at foot of tracing. It is not a question of improving waterway under the aqueduct, which is already more than sufficient, but one of securing the Tank against any possibility of breaching as such a casualty would result in serious damage to the aqueduct and delivery channels on both sides of it and probably cut of supply of water to the station for a time

III. The Inamdars having failed to restore the Tank, notwithstanding the notices served on them by the Revenue Department, the Tank was breached by the P.W. Department about the beginning of June 1896, and the restoration started. About Rs 2000 have been spent to date. The Inamdars' share of outlay noted in para I above, will be debited to the Revenue Department, for recovery from them as a revenue demand, under the rules in force

IV. The Deputy Commissioner reported to the Executive Engineer on the 27th May 1896, that he had issued orders to the Amildar to get the Inam Tanks above Sarjapur, repaired at once. I shall ascertain how far work to these Tanks has advanced. It has already been reported to you that the two Government Tanks above, were being put into order as quickly as possible.

I have &c.
C. Bowen Colonel.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
P.W.D.
23-11-96.

Copy

Assistant Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
P.W.D.

Bangalore.
18th December 1896.

17-11-97

441.

17.11.97

18-11-97

535

2-12-97

5.p.m

9.12.97 @

5545

3

411/3

7558 589

459

691

The Supt
H. W. Supply Dept

The Secretary
Bang: Dt

Dy 18 June 96
No 1576
573

Sir

I have the honor to intimate that the Dy Commissioner for tank has been requested for carrying out the repairs required for the tank

I have etc
/Sd/ A. J. Shelley
Ex. Engr

Memo No 3758 25/6/96
Forwarded to the Chief Engr
Commr to call for information and
To be returned for record
/Sd/ K. Srinivasa Rau
for Dy Comr

591

625

68

75

7

(13)

229

42

12.8.96

12.8.96

ತಾ|| 2-10-98

ನಂ||

(17)

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1036.

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಸೂಚನೆ

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಅವರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನ ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ಅವರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನ ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು. ಅವರ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅವರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನ ಮುಂದೆ

ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾರುವವನು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿ

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಯ/ಅದಾಲತ್/೨೦೨೩, ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೫-೦೩-೨೦೨೩.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೫-೦೩-೨೦೨೩ ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೧೦ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಮ್ಮಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ, ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ತಾವು ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ, ಅವುಗಳ ಪರಿಹಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು,

ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರು

1754

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ೧

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ೨

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date
27-6-98
No 2369
BANGALORE DISTRICT

ನಮೂನೆ
12ನೆ

ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರು

ಬೆಂ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ ಗ್ರಾಮದ
ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 459 ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ ಗ್ರಾಮದ
ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 459 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 2.12.0 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು
ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ
ಸ್ಥಳವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು
ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ
ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ
ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ
ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ
ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ
ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಯಲಹಂಕ
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ
ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

J.36

24.6.85

25-6

2/7

15.9.85

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು

ಕಲಂ 321
ಸಿಆರ್‌ಪಿಸಿ

ಮೇಲಿನವರ ಮೇಲಿನ ಶಿಕ್ಷಾರ್ಹ ಕೃತ್ಯಗಳು ದಿನಾಂಕ 25.6.98ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ
ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಂದಗಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಬಸ್ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಜರುಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಕೃತ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಿಂದಗಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ - ಆದರೆ ಸದರಿ
ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಾಧಾರಗಳು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಿಂದಗಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಐ. ಅವರು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ - ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ
ನೀಡಿದೆ - ಸಿಂದಗಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಐ. ಅವರು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ
ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ -
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದೆ - ಸಿಂದಗಿ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಐ. ಅವರು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ
ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਆਉਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਕਈ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਇਸ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੜ੍ਹਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹਾਂ। ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਬਹੁਤ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਪਾਲਣ-ਪੋਸ਼ਣ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਬਹੁਤ ਮੁਸ਼ਕਿਲ ਆ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਤੋਂ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੁਝ ਵਾਧਾ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਜ਼ਰੂਰਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਪੂਰਾ ਕਰ ਸਕਾਂ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ ਸੇਵਕ,

ਰਮੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ

ਮਿਤੀ: 15 ਮਈ 2023

P. Lakshmana Rao
Advocate

Dated 30/6/2000

To
The Hon'ble Chief Justice and
Other Hon'ble Judges of the
High Court of Andhra Pradesh
Hyderabad

Sir,

Sub: Enrolment as Advocate - Reg.

I am a graduate in Arts and Law. I have passed the Andhra Pradesh State Judicial Service Examination, 1978 and was appointed as Munsif on 4.12.1978. I resigned the post on 31.5.1981 and enrolled as Advocate on 1.6.2000. I have been practising in the Courts subordinate to this Hon'ble Court.

I am now desirous of practising in this Hon'ble Court. I request that I may be enrolled as Advocate of this Hon'ble Court.

Yours faithfully,

P. Lakshmana Rao

Draft for approval

(6)

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

DR II
4922.

Dated 16 April 1901.

No 8372/827 - 1 file of V.P.

Acio letter No D. 1067 dated 24-11-1900/9-1-1901, submitting a refund bill for Rs 454-0-6 on behalf of Jodidars Thimmappa Shastry of Surkanda pura in the Nelamangala Taluk, being the excess amount of Jodi recovered from him for the two years 1878-79 & 1879-80.

~~~»~~~

With reference to the above, has the honor to enquire why Government should
~~~

Should refund what was
paid 22 years ago and what
the Jodidar and others
concerned were doing for
20 long years from the
date of the Government
Order of 1881. There is no
explanation forthcoming
as regards Mrs Dolan. ~~in the last papers~~
~~in these papers for this~~
~~was sent up~~
delay. On his installation
of 20th December 1899, the ~
Amildar of Nelamangala
says that the matter was
under correspondence. No
correspondence has not
been ~~forwarded~~ sent up with
the present file, which is
herewith returned for
early resubmission with
all the connected papers
and a full report as
regards the delay
noticed

notices de abaue.

" Byelaws

13/4/01

Fr Secretary.

13/4/01

copy

15/4/01

H 1579 (1)

RECEIVED 5-JUL 1902 No 18901 DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Dy Commr of Kolar

Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Rev: and Genl Depts

Dated

Despatched 31st May & 4th June 1902

Received

General No. 9160

D No.

Enclosure No. 4 files.

DRII 4923.

Secy's docket No. 8372 / 21827 of 10th April 1901.

Submits further report with records on the subject of the excess Jodi received from the Jodidars of Varakunderahura

Ref

5-6-02

Rd 7/6/02 Soopdt

Put up

7/6/02

D.No. 1327.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 31st March 1902

From

M. V. Narayana Rao Esqr.
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. B.A. B.L
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 8372. 7C 827 of the 10th April 1901, enquiring why the excess paid to Government by the Jodidars of Markandapura 20 years ago should be refunded now and what the Jodidars were doing all these years and calling for a full report of the case and all the records connected therewith, I have the honor to submit four files of papers referring to the different stages of correspondence in the case and to state that according to the ad. interim Inam settlement, the Jodi due to Government from the Jodidars of Markandapura was Rs. 672-8-9. But in the final settlement based upon the survey valuation, it was

4701-9.
133
d. 2 sept^r
1881.

raised to Rs 899-9—. In spite of the protest from the Jodidars the excess amounting to Rs 454-—6 was collected for the two years 1878.79 and 1879.80. In the year 1800. 1801, the Jodidars began to withhold payment of the enhanced Jodi and in accordance with orders of Government N. 471-9/133 of the 8. September 1901 this excess was remitted pending a general settlement of such excesses by the Revenue Survey Superintendent upon certain principles therein laid down. In the year 1884.85, the revised settlement was introduced and in accordance with that the Jodi was fixed at Rs. 836/. and in 1894.95 a further reduction of Rs. 1-9-6 was made.

2. Meanwhile, the arrears of Jodi began to accumulate as well as the items for which the Jodidars claimed compensation from Government, and complications arose owing to various causes. The Jodidars not only claimed the deduction of the excess

of rent amounting to Rs 454-..6
collected from them during the
years 1878-79 & 1879-80 from the
demand made on them by Govern-
ment but also of other sums claimed
by them from Government on
account of 113 acres. 14 guntas of
their Jodi land taken from them
for the water spread of the Hesserghatta
tank in 1893-94 and 7 acres. 30 guntas
for building purposes in 1895-96.

3. As the reasonableness of their
demand had to be settled before
the amount due to them can be
finally fixed, the Amildar of
Nelamangala was directed in this
office No. 137 of the 10th November 1899
to show separately the items for which
the Jodidars laid claim against
Government and the amount due
from them to Government so that
a settlement may be speedily arrived
at.

4. As the excess of collection
amounting to Rs 454-..6 made
during the years 1878-79 & 1879-80
had nothing to do with the settlement

of the Godidars' other claims against the Government, sanction was applied for, for refunding this amount, in order that the above may be taken in adjustment of the amount due to Government from the Godidars.

5. Report No. 1340 of the 5th May 1901 from the Amildar of the Nelamangala Taluk explaining the cause of the delay is included in the files.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

for Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Prov. of the Cost of Inquire

8)

Read-

Correspondence ending with letter No D/1327 dated 31st May 1902 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, relating to a sum of Rs 454-0-6 to be refunded to the Jodidars of Narkunda-pur in Nelamangala Taluk, being the excess jodi collected from them for the two years 1878-79 and 1879-80.

---

Order thereon:-

In the Inam Settlement of 1878, the mamool jodi and local cess, viz Rs 672-8-9, payable

DR II
4924

Later refers
R.m. 772 of 1904-5
B. May 05

No. Fl 881-2 31/12/02

G.V.P. (H.V.P.

by the Jodidars for
the village of Sivarkanda
-pur was raised to
Rs 899-9-0 (Jodi 728-0-0, +
Cess 171-9-0). In spite
of the protest of the Jodi
-dars that the enhanced
rate was not based
upon the correct valu-
-ation of the lands, the
Jodi for two years 1878-79
and 1879-80 was collect
-ed. The Jodidars ap-
-pealed to Government
against the Inam
Settlement of 1878 and
they in their order dt.
the Govt
4701-9 dated 2d Sept.
133
1881 directed that the
Inamdar Jodi and
Cess, viz Rs 672-8-9,
should be collected

until a revision of the Income Settlement was made. This was done in 1884-85 and the jodi was fixed at Rs 727 with a local Cess @ 10% Rs and the amount was ordered to be collected with effect from that year. But prior to this order as already said, the jodi at the enhanced rate was collected and credited to Treasury, i.e. Rs 4540-6 was recovered in excess.

The jodidars claim the refund of the amount collected in excess from them and the Deputy Commissioner submits a refund bill with a view to partly adjust the amount due to Government by the

Jodidars The refund
bill is ~~accordingly~~ Sanc-
tioned and forwarded
to the Comptroller Supreme
Court, for audit

28/11

27/11/02 Secretary

28/11/02

To
The Deputy Commr.,
Bangalore Dist.
with ver. papers

Comptroller, Supreme
Court, with bill